

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/633,012	WANG ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
ISAAC T. TECKLU	2192	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

(1) ISAAC T. TECKLU. (3) _____.

(2) David P. McCabe, Reg. No. 58,104. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 August 2009
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
35 U.S.C 103
Claims discussed:
1, 4, 11, 21 and 38
Prior art documents discussed:
Chamdani (US 2004/0073906) and Hoogerbrugge et al. (US 6,615,333)
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted Mr. McCabe to propose an amendment to have the case in condition for allowance. The Examiner proposed to incorporate the feature of claim 4 and 38 to all the independent claims except claim 26. Mr. McCabe concurred with Examiner's proposal.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*/Isaac T Tecklu/
Examiner, Art Unit 2192*
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

